

The buyers group has identified a typo in the Evaluation section in the previous procurement report and protocol dated July 6 2023, that was sent out to all bidders in phase 2 of the CRANE PCP.

PROCUREMENT REPORT AND EVALUATION PROTOCOL AMENDMENT – CRANE PCP

Original information in the section Evaluation


All the tenders were evaluated according to section 3.4.2 Weighted award criteria, 3.4.3 Point system, 3.5 Price-quality ratio and 3.6 Evaluation procedure: Opening of tenders & evaluation in TD1. The evaluation carried out led to the following results:

| Tenderer | Evaluation Committee Score | EC Price-quality ratio |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| LCubed AB (iGrant.io) (dHDSI) | 87,0 | 86,4 |
| Data for Good foundation (Data for Care) | 91,0 | 90,7 |
| Egde Consulting AS (Antigone) | 77,0 | 77,6 |
| TELEVES S.A.U. (My Rural Health) | 88,0 | 89,6 |
| Wiring Technologies SL (Habeats) | 83,0 | 85,2 |

The correct information in the section Evaluation

All the tenders were evaluated according to section 3.4.2 Weighted award criteria, 3.4.3 Point system, 3.5 Price-quality ratio and 3.6 Evaluation procedure: Opening of tenders & evaluation in TD1. The evaluation carried out led to the following results:

| Tenderer | Evaluation Committee Score | EC Price-quality ratio |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| LCubed AB (iGrant.io) (dHDSI) | 88,0 | 86,4 |
| Data for Good foundation (Data for Care) | 91,0 | 90,7 |
| Egde Consulting AS (Antigone) | 77,0 | 77,6 |
| TELEVES S.A.U. (My Rural Health) | 88,0 | 89,6 |



| Wiring Technologies SL (Habeats) | 83,0 | 85,2 |
|----------------------------------|------|------|
|----------------------------------|------|------|

The identified typo

The typo refers to the "Evaluation Committee Score" for LCubed AB (iGrant.io). In the report from July 6 2023 LCubed has received a score of 87,0, and a score of 86,4 for the "Price-quality ratio".

The correct Evaluation Committee score for LCubed AB (iGrant.io) is 88,0. Since this was a typo, the correct score for "Price-quality ratio" is still the same, 86,4.

This has in no way affected the outcome of the evaluation of the tenders and the result of the tenderers that have received the contracts for phase 2 in the PCP. However, the buyers group apologize for the typo in the original report.